

	Page 2
1	United States Bankruptcy Court
2	300 Quarropas Street, Room 248
3	White Plains, NY 10601
4	
5	November 20, 2019
6	10:14 AM
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: SHEA

	Page 3
1	HEARING re Notice of Agenda of Matters Scheduled for Hearing
2	on November 20, 2019 at 10:00 a.m.
3	
4	Application for Final Professional Compensation in Excess of
5	Tier 3 Ordinary Course Professional Monthly Cap for
6	Polsinelli Poughkeepsie Courthouse - 355 Main Street.
7	Objections due by, Other Professional, period: 1/1/2019 to
8	1/31/2019, fee: #88,350.84, expenses: \$0.00 (ECF 5106)
9	
10	Declaration of Mark A. Gershon in Support (ECF 5107)
11	
12	Motion of Wedy Antoine for Relief from Stay (ECF 4207)
13	
14	Motion of Delia Torres for Relief from Stay (ECF 4550)
15	
16	Adversary proceeding: 19-08269-rdd Ng v. Sears Holding
17	Corporation et al
18	
19	Debtors' Motion to Dismiss Adversary Complaint (ECF 7)
20	
21	Opposition of Brian Coke Ng to PDS, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss
22	(ECF 10)
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtors
5	767 Fifth Avenue
6	New York, NY 10153
7	
8	BY: JENNIFER CROZIER
9	PHILIP L. DIDONATO
10	GARRETT FAIL
11	
12	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
13	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
14	One Bryant Park
15	New York, NY 10036
16	
17	BY: SARA L. BRAUNER
18	
19	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
20	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee
21	201 Varick Street, Suite 1006
22	New York, NY 10014
23	
24	BY: RICHARD MORRISSEY
25	

г	1 9 3 61 30
	Page 5
1	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
2	
3	JOHNAHTAN C. BOLTON
4	JULIE C. CURLEY
5	KIMBERLY B. GIANIS
6	TAYLOR B. HARRISON
7	CATHERINE HEITZENRATER
8	HOO RI KIM
9	SHIRIN MAHKAMOVA
10	PATRICK MOHAN
11	BRYAN OBERG
12	JANE E. PEARSON
13	LEE J. ROHN
14	JOHS F. SAUL
15	CHRIS STAUBLE
16	DAVID H. WANDER
17	AISHA AL-MUSLIM
18	ALIX BROZMAN
19	MICHAEL G. LINN
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 6 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: In re Sears Holdings, Corporation. 3 MR. CARSON: Your Honor, I didn't get an opportunity to tell you who I was. I think you asked me, 4 5 though. 6 THE COURT: Who are -- I'm sorry. Who are you? 7 MR. CARSON: My name is Seth Carson. I represent 8 Antoine Wedy. I guess he's a Creditor. We have a Title 7 9 action. We filed a motion --10 THE COURT: Right. This is in the Sears case, 11 though, right? Not the Swift case that I just called? 12 MR. CARSON: No, no. In the Sears case, right. 13 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm doing that one 14 now. 15 MR. CARSON: Okay. 16 THE COURT: Very well. Okay. 17 MR. CARSON: Our motion has a Docket number from you guys. It's 4307. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. So I have the agenda for 20 today's omnibus hearing. Why don't we go by that agenda? 21 MR. FAIL: Good morning, Your Honor. For the 22 record, Garrett Fail, Weil, Gotshal & Manges. There are a number of items on the agenda today. With the Court's 23 24 permission, I'll turn the podium over to my colleague Phil 25 DiDonato. As a matter of housekeeping, Your Honor, Mr.

Page 7 1 DiDonato is admitted to New York State, but his paperwork is 2 still in process for admission to the Southern District. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. FAIL: He's been representing the Debtor since 5 the inception of the case. He appreciates the Court 6 permitting him to address today. 7 THE COURT: Very well. 8 MR. FAIL: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 9 10 (indiscernible) 11 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. 12 COUNSEL: Good morning, sir -- Your Honor. 13 MR. DIDONATO: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Phil DiDonato, Weil, Gotshal & Manges for the 14 15 The first matter on the agenda today is an 16 uncontested matter. It is the application of Polsinelli, 17 PC, for first and final application for compensation. I understand that the counsel is on the line to answer any 18 19 questions you may have. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And this application was filed 21 because Polsinelli exceeded its ordinary course of 22 professional cap for the month of January 2019. Have there 23 been any developments on the application? I didn't see any 24 objections to it. Were there any changes made in light of 25 discussions with anyone?

Page 8 1 MS. PEARSON: Your Honor, this is Jane Pearson. 2 I'm a shareholder of Polsinelli. I'm on the line. THE COURT: Yes. 3 4 MS. PEARSON: We haven't been contacted by anyone 5 or received any objections. 6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I have reviewed the 7 application and based on that review and the lack of an 8 objection, I will grant the application. Obviously, it's 9 for a significant amount of money. It's over the ordinary course of professional cap. On the other hand, it's clear 10 11 to me that this was a very busy time for the firm because of 12 the transformed transaction which had a heavily -- a heavy 13 real estate-based element to it and that was the primary 14 focus of Polsinelli's retention. So you could email the 15 standard order granting the first and final fee application 16 with schedules A and B which the administrative office or 17 the courts require. If you don't have a model for that, you can contact Weil, Gotshal for one. 18 19 MS. PEARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. May I be 20 excused? 21 THE COURT: Yes. That's fine. Thanks. 22 MS. PEARSON: Thank you. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. Thank you, Your 25 Honor.

MR. DIDONATO: And next on the agenda are two motions for the relief from the automatic stay. The Debtors filed an omnibus objection to both of these motions at Docket Entry 6006. We request that these motions be denied for the reasons stated in our objection, namely that they're both predicated on the availability of insurance for the underlying claims and we demonstrated that there is no insurance available here. But happy to take these one at a time, if that's all right with Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, why don't we take the motion of behalf of Mr. Antoine first -- (indiscernible) Antoine -- and I understand that, again, that his counsel's on the phone? Mr. Carson? MR. CARSON: Yes. This is Mr. Carson. THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. MR. CARSON: Sorry. I had it on mute. Sorry. I don't want to bother you guys while I was talking. THE COURT: No, that's fine. So this is a motion for leave from the automatic stay to pursue the pending district court action in Eastern District of Pennsylvania against Sears. And I appreciate that you may not be a bankruptcy lawyer, but in this action, the standard by which I review a lift stay motion is laid out by the Second Circuit in In re Sonnax 906 F2d 1280 (2d Cir. 1990). And the multi-fact or test that the Second Circuit lays out

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

there has been addressed by the Debtors in their objection, and given that, to the extent there is insurance there's a substantial deductible that would need to be paid first, a \$5 million deductible.

MR. CARSON: It's my understanding it's 5 million.

THE COURT: It seems to me that the Sonnax factors here argue for not lifting the stay. I'll also note that it is highly unlikely that there will be any meaningful recovery from non-insurance assets in this case -- in the bankruptcy case -- for a lengthy period, if ever. Because it depends on --

MR. CARSON: What exactly --

THE COURT: -- litigation that has been brought, but not developed beyond the filing of the complaint which was, however, based on substantial discovery, against various former insiders of the Debtors.

MR. CARSON: Your Honor, can you explain what that means? My client is with me, too. I just -- would -- do you know -- can you -- would you mind explaining what that means for my client's case?

THE COURT: Sure. There are two sources of recovery in a litigation often. Source one is directly from the defendant, in this case, Sears Roebuck & Company. The other source is from potential insurance that the defendant would have that might cover the claim. All litigation

against Debtors, including the litigation that's pending in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania is stayed by the automatic stay when a company files for bankruptcy which is what happened here.

The courts will generally lift the automatic stay if there is insurance and no claim is being sought against any asset of the Debtors other than insurance proceeds.

And, in fact, I entered an order in this bankruptcy case on March 1, 2019, authorizing the Debtors to enter into stipulations like that -- would say the stay is lifted to pursue pre-bankruptcy litigation on the condition that any recovery be limited to insurance proceeds.

The lift stay motion here doesn't offer to limit a recovery in that way and the Debtors say that there is a substantial deductible that you could recover on also. So unless the limitation is just to available insurance proceeds, the Debtors' estate would be harmed just by the cost of defending the litigation and the potential recovery.

The second root of recovering here is, as I said, against the Debtors themselves in the bankruptcy case once the claim is liquidated. But I was pointing out is that the cost both to Mr. Antoine and to the Debtors of pursuing the litigation at this time is, at least for the foreseeable future, far higher than any recovery that would be obtained on a claim against Sears, because the only real asset that

unsecured Creditors can collect on in this case is recovery down the road, potentially on litigation claims. So in terms of spending money to recover against Sears or Sears spending money to defend against it in a non-bankruptcy forum, it just doesn't make economic sense. You'd be spending hundred-cent dollars to collect fractional dollars, if that.

MR. CARSON: And so I think what you're saying is, the only option that my client has at this point is to wait until the bankruptcy ends and then attempt to continue the lawsuit at that time.

THE COURT: Or to pursue a claim at that time in the bankruptcy case itself. Did your client file a proof of claim in the bankruptcy case?

MR. CARSON: We did.

THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, that would be the other option. And Congress had the stay apply to prepetition litigation because of that very calculus and courts will lift the stay -- and again, the controlling case in the Second Circuit is the Sonnax case that I mentioned -- but it's recognized that that's extraordinary relief and the factors really need to weigh in favor of lifting it. It normally will be lifted if the recovery is only to be against insurance and if you want to do that, it can be lifted for that purpose, but you'd have to waive the

recovery in the lawsuit and in the future against Sears.

And many parties in this case have done that.

Or if you want to preserve the case against Sears

-- it's probably advisable as a business matter, but as a

legal matter it's required -- to wait and see where the

recovery shakes out ultimately through the bankruptcy case

because the Second Circuit does not authorize lifting the

stay in this situation where Sears is paying hundred-cent

dollars to defend the claim and then at the end of the day

those hundred-cent dollars would materially affect adversely

the Debtors' estate because Creditors are actually only

getting fractional dollars.

MR. CARSON: I understand.

THE COURT: And this is not --

THE COURT: I know you're busy --

THE COURT: -- this is not special tribunal like a Workers' Compensation Board or something like that that has specific expertise with a specific procedural regime that -- these are federal claims. Bankruptcy courts decide federal claims like this frequently so the other reasons for lifting the stay wouldn't apply. And I don't get the sense from this pleading either that this matter is like ripe for trial and both parties have spent lots of time and effort in discovery and they're all ready for trial so the only issue is the trial cost. So --

Page 14 1 MR. CARSON: No. We didn't have a Rule 16 2 conference yet. THE COURT: All right. So all of those facts 3 4 argue strongly against not lifting the automatic stay. 5 MR. CARSON: I understand. 6 THE COURT: So you should talk over with Mr. 7 Antoine whether he wants to waive his claim against Sears 8 except for any available insurance. And the Debtors are 9 saying, with regard to this claim, there probably isn't a 10 lot, if any, available insurance. There may be a little. 11 MR. CARSON: Yeah. I think that would be --12 waiving the claim, yeah. THE COURT: So anyway --13 14 MR. CARSON: I guess like --15 THE COURT: -- what you --16 MR. CARSON: -- what you mean is -- by doing that, 17 it might be waiving the claim entirely. THE COURT: Well, that possible, yes. 18 19 MR. CARSON: Okay. 20 THE COURT: So anyway, that's something you can 21 consider and talk about with your client. If you decide to 22 do it, you could follow through on the procedure that's laid out in my March 1 order and reach out to the Debtors' 23 counsel about -- they have a form of stipulation that you 24 25 can sign. I'm not telling you you should do it. I think,

you know, it really depends on what insurance, if any, is left, and if there's really none left, then there's no reason to waive a claim against Sears, obviously.

MR. CARSON: Yeah. And I know you guys are busy so I appreciate you taking the time to explain that so thoroughly to us. It's much appreciated.

THE COURT: Okay. Very well. So I'm going to deny the motion. It's just a lift stay motion. I'm not ruling on the underlying claim so the order's just denying the motion for the reasons stated. The stay will remain in effect.

MR. CARSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. We'll get off the line.

THE COURT: Okay. And just for the record, the Court's -- the Court authority for my remarks is laid in a couple fairly recent bankruptcy ST NY cases as well as in re Mazzeo 167 F.3d 139 142 (2d Cir. 1999) and numerous other cases that stated the burden is on the movement -- the initial burden at least -- to show cause under the Sonnax factors which is extraordinary relief given that the claim is unsecured. See also in re Residential Capital, LLC, 2012 Bankruptcy LEXIS 3624 which goes through the Sonnax factors and puts heavy emphasis on the absence of available insurance. That's bankruptcy ST NY 2012 and see also in re SquareTwo Financial Services, Corp. 2017 Bankruptcy LEXIS

Page 16 1 2570 at Pages 12 and 17 through 18 -- Bankruptcy ST NY 2017. 2 Okay. 3 MR. DIDONATO: Thank you, Your Honor. Next on the agenda is the motion for relief from stay filed by Delia 4 5 Torres (indiscernible). It's Docket Entry 4550. 6 THE COURT: Right. And I believe that her counsel 7 is also on the phone? 8 COUNSEL: (indiscernible) on behalf on Senor 9 Torres. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. 11 COUNSEL: Good morning. 12 THE COURT: I -- I mean, I'm sure you were 13 listening on the --14 COUNSEL: Yeah. I heard. So I'm pretty sure what 15 will be the judgment (indiscernible). I heard everything, 16 yes. 17 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, in this motion, you did 18 state that you'd be prepared to waive the claim against 19 Sears, but -- or in this case, Kmart. But on the other 20 hand, Kmart's been very forthcoming in saying there really 21 isn't any insurance so I'm not sure you want to proceed with 22 the motion on that basis. I could grant the motion, but it 23 really wouldn't do your client any good because there's no 24 insurance. 25 COUNSEL: Okay. And for what is (indiscernible) I

know for a fact what's the amount, the debt that will go the bankruptcy court that's non-insured debt and (indiscernible) profits. I don't know if that will make any difference or if it's just a waste of time and --

THE COURT: Well, I mean, you have a claim in the bankruptcy case, but, again, given that the Debtors would be spending hundred-cent dollars in defending this claim in the court of Puerto Rico, under the Sonnax factors that I've just gone through, that would not be appropriate, particularly given the amount, as I stated, and timing for any projection of recovery on unsecured claims. Let me just confirm. This has not been stated in the pleading, but I have been assuming -- correct me if I'm wrong, that this is not a matter that's trial-ready or even close to trial.

COUNSEL: No, no. It's not.

THE COURT: Okay. So -- and --

COUNSEL: It's just beginning (indiscernible).

THE COURT: Reading the complaint, I gather it's a personal injury claim.

COUNSEL: Yes.

THE COURT: And my suggestion is that after the Chapter 11 plan goes effective you -- and there may be an objection at some point to your claim -- but I think this is the type of claim that Debtors often settle, in light of the recovery that might be available. And, again, it's really

	Page 18
1	in no one's interest to spend a lot of time and money
2	litigating it because of the very small recovery that's
3	projected for unsecured Creditors. So you do have avenues
4	to try to resolve the claim, but it really should be done
5	through the Debtors' bankruptcy counsel.
6	COUNSEL: All right. Okay.
7	THE COURT: So I will deny this motion also after
8	having considered the Sonnax factors and I would cite the
9	same case law that I previously cited on that basis.
10	COUNSEL: Okay.
11	THE COURT: And on this one, I mean, you could
12	proceed with it and I would grant it actually, because, you
13	know, there's you said that you would limit your recovery
14	just to insurance, but there's no insurance so it doesn't
15	make sense to proceed with it.
16	COUNSEL: Yeah. And it means I can't settle at
17	this time.
18	THE COURT: Right.
19	COUNSEL: Then there's no reason for
20	(indiscernible) the case until the (indiscernible).
21	THE COURT: Okay. Very well. So I'll ask the
22	Debtors to submit both orders.
23	MR. DIDONATO: Okay.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	COUNSEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thanks. And I think in the second one he could say that upon the representation by the Debtors that there's no available insurance, the movement withdrew that condition to her motion.

MR. DIDONATO: Understood.

THE COURT: Okay. So I think the only other

matter is the continued hearing on Mr. Ng's -- that's N-G's

-- adversary proceeding and the motions to dismiss by the

Debtors and by the non-Debtor co-defendants.

MR. FAIL: Thank you, Your Honor. Garrett Fail, Weil, Gotshal, for the Debtors just one more time. One note. Your Honor, we've been proceeding granting -- you know, stipulating for relief from the automatic stay for all parties where there was insurance and the Debtors' view was, rather than lift -- consent to lift the stay in matters where we knew there was no insurance and require people that even were willing to drop the claims, we thought that the best process would be to keep the stay in place and resolve those in the claims reconciliation as Your Honor said so that we don't have default judgments.

We were -- there were sometimes multiple other parties as defendants and rather than default and have larger claims in the pool, we thought it would just make sense to settle them later as Your Honor indicated. And that's why we've taken the position. Just want to put that

1 --

THE COURT: Right. No, I think that's makes sense and if someone questions whether there's insurance or not you can -- they can bring it before me and I can decide.

But --

MR. FAIL: Absolutely. And we've done that.

THE COURT: -- it is no reason to -- I wouldn't say lure people into settling on the belief that there's insurance when there isn't. So I think that your approach is the right one.

MR. FAIL: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So I had held a fairly lengthy hearing on October 23, 2019, on a number of matters that were on that day involving Mr. Ng. He was -- he requested and received permission to appear by phone. I had ruled on all of the matters except the Debtors' motion to dismiss and the co-defendants' separate motion to dismiss. That is the motion by PDX, Inc/National Health Information Network, Inc's motion to dismiss. And, unfortunately, Mr. Ng who is on medication, and has, I believe he would acknowledge, mental health issues, really couldn't last to the end of the hearing and I broke it off and said I would adjourn the matter and then give a ruling at that time.

So I don't know if there's any more real argument to be had. We really didn't get to the National Health

Pg 21 of 56 Page 21 1 motion, but I believe that National Health's counsel who's 2 not here today -- right -- well, or on the phone -- was 3 content to have me rule just on the papers. So I don't know if you want to state your appearance, if you have anything 4 5 to say after introduction. 6 MS. CROZIER: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor. 7 Jennifer Crozier, Weil, Gotshal & Manges, for the Debtors. 8 As we indicated in the last hearing, we're prepared to be 9 heard on our papers, ready to address any questions you may 10 have this morning, Your Honor, but otherwise, prepared to 11 hear your ruling. 12 THE COURT: Okay. MS. CROZIER: Thank you. 13 14 THE COURT: I do have one question. I had 15 suggested a way to, perhaps, resolve this, to bring some 16 closure to everyone on it, which was that the Debtors would 17 buy Mr. Ng's Workers' Compensation claim for the \$93 and change. I don't know if he had second thoughts on that and 18 19 reached out to you a little about that? 20 MS. CROZIER: As I understand it, Mr. Ng has not 21 reached out to us with respect to that compromise. 22 THE COURT: All right. And there was no obligation on his part to do so, and I think I made that 23 clear to him. All right. So I'll give you my ruling and 24

then I'll give you the ruling on the National Health claim -

- National Health motion to dismiss, excuse me.

I have before me a motion by the Debtors to dismiss the complaint of Brian Koch Ng against them. The motion is under both bankruptcy Rule 7012 incorporating federal rules of civil procedure 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim under both Rule 8 of the federal rules as well as to the extent that the complaint is premised upon allegations of fraud or misrepresentation, Rule 9(b) of the federal rules. I had outlined to Mr. Ng at the hearing the standard for my review of such a motion which is generally laid out in Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd., 551 U.S. 308 323 (2007), as well as Papasan v. Allain 478 U.S. 265 286 (1986) and Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly 550 U.S. 544 555 (2007).

Separately, I had summarized for Mr. Ng's benefit who was pro se, federal civil procedure 9(b) which requires that in alleging fraud a party must state with particularity the circumstances constituting fraud or mistake, although malice, intent and knowledge and other conditions in a person's mind may it be alleged generally. I also pointed out, however, that while intent or knowledge may be averred generally, the plaintiff must still plead the events claimed to give rise to an inference of intent or knowledge. See Devaney v. Chester 813 F.2d 566 568 (2d Cir. 1987).

Here, in reviewing the factual underpinnings of

the claims alleged in the complaint and giving them all reasonable inferences as I -- directed by the Tellabs case, while not counting as a factual allegation your labels and conclusions and formulaic recitations of the elements of a cause of action is required by Papasan v. Allain, I have concluded that the motion should be granted.

As far as the Debtors are concerned, your complaint asserts five causes of action. First, intentional infliction of emotional distress under New York law, which would govern all of the causes of action in the complaint; second, fraud or misrepresentation; third, violation of Section 349 of the New York General Business Law pertaining to -- conduct pertaining to consumers, generally; and actually, count five -- ignoring count 4 which is as against only the National Health defendants -- negligence.

Under New York law, to state a claim for intentional infliction of emotional distress, a plaintiff must allege facts sufficient to establish, one, extreme and outrageous conduct; two, the intent to cause with a disregard of substantial likelihood of causing severe emotional distress; three, causation; and four, severe emotional distress. Pekewicz, P-E-K-E-W-I-C-Z, v Dutchess County Department of Community and Family Services 187 A.D 3d 99 2d Department (2016) and Brunache v MV Transportation, Inc, 151 A.D. 3d 1011 2d Department (2017). See also Chanko

v. The American Broadcasting Companies, Inc., 27 N.Y. 3d 46 57-68 (2016).

Here, based on a close reading of the complaint and as I questioned Mr. Ng about the complaint at the October 23, 2019 hearing on the motion to dismiss, it appears to me that Mr. Ng is basing all of the claims and causes of action on the following sufficiently pled accusations. First, he pleads that when he obtained or fulfilled prescriptions for various medications in 2010, in two instances he received from the pharmacy medications that contained warnings or directions that he lays out in Paragraph 32 of his complaint. He then states that over eight years later, in August of 2018, in response to an information request or subpoena directed by New York State Supreme Court, he received a response that contained different and additional warnings and cautions and directions as laid out in Paragraphs 42 through 44 of the complaint.

He alleges without saying how or when that this information was fraudulently generated or created. In addition, he alleges in a number of paragraphs, including Paragraphs 58 through 67 that the health information was also, not only inaccurate, but also fraudulent in stating that he was a participant in certain health care plans, as opposed to simply having a right under Medicaid to coverage

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for the medicines that he received in 2010.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The complaint further asserts that the plaintiff was damaged by the provision of this information in, I believe, two ways. First, it is alleged that because of the information, Mr. Ng is unable or reluctant to submit a claim for reimbursement from the Workers' Compensation Board. Quote -- this is from Paragraph 99 -- the plaintiff cannot in good faith submit a claim to the Workers' Compensation insurance carrier and knows that inconsistency, discrepancy, and contradiction in the medical records located at the defendant's pharmacy, indeed that would be aiding abetting a fraud. Similarly, in Paragraph 96, he states, the plaintiff is in need of genuine, reliable, and accurate medical records from the defendant's pharmacy for verification and support of all out-of-pocket medical expenses and to receive his reimbursements from the Workers' Compensation insurance carrier.

I believe it's noteworthy the complaint does not allege that any such request has actually been reviewed and turned down because of the information or the lack of information that -- or lack of accurate information -- that the complaint alleges was provided. In addition, Mr. Ng can -- it can be inferred, I believe, alleges in the complaint that based on his receipt of the information in 2018 pertaining to warnings and cautions, he developed a serious

mental condition and reaction. This is laid out in Paragraphs 53 through 55 of the complaint.

The complaint does not allege, however, that the plaintiff -- the plaintiff's physical and mental reaction was caused because of his earlier misuse of the medication that he received in 2018 for lack of proper warnings or directions, but merely the effect that seeing different warnings and directions had upon him. Finally, the complaint alleges -- I believe I can infer -- that the defendant's incomplete provision of medical records after being directed to do so by subpoena in the New York State Court action, which apparently was only for the purpose of compelling the direction of medical records, also caused the foregoing serious physical and mental reaction. It appears clear from the record, however, that the New York State Court denied a request to hold the Debtors in contempt or the Debtor/defendants in contempt for failure to comply with the subpoena. And it appears clear to me also from reading in the complaint that the 2018 production of medical records was in the spirit of fully complying with the subpoena.

So returning then to the first count against the Debtors, I conclude that the complaint does not set forth sufficiently to survive a Rule 12(b)(6) motion -- extreme and outrageous conduct with the intent to cause or the disregard of the substantial likelihood of causing severe

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

emotional distress. I also believe that the complaint, as

I've summarized it, does not set forth any causation that

could be proximately attributed to the provision of the

information in 2018. Therefore, the only element that is

sufficiently pled in the complaint is the fourth element of

the cause of action, i.e., severe emotional distress.

It is clear to me from not only -- but from the case law, rather, that I've cited -- that the New York

Courts require truly extreme and outrageous conduct. For example, allegations of hostility as set forth in the

Brunache case that I've cited, even accepted as true, were not so extreme and outrageous to raise such a claim and as laid out in the Chanko case, the New York Court of Appeals found that a broadcasting network hospital and physician that allowed non-consensual filming of a patient's treatment and death in the emergency room was not so extreme and outrageous to support an intentional infliction of an emotional distress claim. Here, intent to cause emotional distress cannot be inferred from the facts as pled, nor is the conduct as pled extreme and outrageous in any of the types of fact patterns that are alleged in the compliant.

Secondly, count two, as I've stated, alleges fraudulent doctoring of patient records and/or misrepresentation. The elements of fraud include, again, an intent element as well as the who, what, and where of the

fraud alleged and reasonable reliance by the plaintiff and finally, again, damages caused as a result of reliance.

Here, again, the complaint fails to state a cause of action for many of the same reasons that I've just alleged.

Reasonable reliance upon the representation, even if it were, in fact, false, is simply not established by this complaint. A reasonable provision in response to the discovery demand would not have assumed the type of extreme reaction that would come from Mr. Ng, and one cannot that that reaction is reasonable. Nor do I believe that he suffered damages as a result of his reliance on the representation, given that the representation as quoted lays out warnings that he does not tie to any particular reaction other than seeing that it was different than the first one that he received which also contained warnings and cautions.

Moreover, he has not pointed out how the information was, in fact, false or how there was any intent which I could even infer to mislead Mr. Ng in the 2018 information that was provided to him. Therefore, the second claim should be denied for those multiple reasons.

Count three of the complaint alleges a violation of Section 349 of the New York General Business Law, also known as New York's Deceptive Trade Practices Act. Section 349 as relevant provides in Subsection A and H that to have a claim under this section one needs to establish deceptive

acts or practices in the conduct of any business, trade, or commerce or on the furnishing of any service in this state. And in addition to (indiscernible) of action, grant the attorney general any person who has been injured by reason of any violation of this section may bring an action in his own name to enjoin such lawful act or practice, an action to recover his actual damages, or \$50 whichever is greater, or both such actions. The court may also award reasonable attorney's fees and increase the cap of damages on the defendant willfully, knowingly violated the section. However, to state a claim under this statute Mr. Ng must allege that the Debtors' deceptive acts were directed at consumers, that they are misleading in a material way, and that he has been injured as a result -- Batista v. (indiscernible) 223 of Sep 3d 182 191 ST NY (2016).

The claim fails, again, for multiple reasons.

First, this is very much a claim centered on the information that I've already summarized being provided to Mr. Ng alone. The focus is on him as opposed to consumers or the public in general, and consequently, the relief sought is deficient in that regard. There's no allegation of generally deceptive practices here supported by any factual allegation, with the exception of a reference in a claim against the non-Debtor defendants to security breaches which otherwise bear no relation to any claim referred to in the complaint.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So the complaint's count three should be dismissed solely on that basis, in re Stadt, S-T-A-D-T, v. Fox News Network, LLC, 17 F Sept2d 312 324 ST NY (2010). In addition, as I've already concluded based on my review of the complaint, the complaint fails for the failure to state a claim on the other two grounds for relief, i.e., it does appear to me that the acts complained of are, in fact, misleading in a material way and, in addition, that Mr. Ng has failed to plead sufficiently proximate cause showing that he was injured as a result. Again, the only possible proximate cause here would be a denial of a reimbursement based on the -- alleged insufficiency of the information provided to him which has not occurred yet and may never occur because Mr. Ng has not submitted the information.

And, secondly, Mr. Ng's contention that, separate and apart from that injury which at this point is hypothetical and not sufficiently predicted in the complaint, that he reacted physically and mentally the way he did to information that, on a reasonable basis, would not cause such a reaction. Lastly, the motion to dismiss seeks to dismiss the one remaining count against the Debtors which is negligence. Under New York law a negligence claim is sufficiently pled if it alleges that the defendant owed the plaintiff a duty of care, the defendant breached that duty, and the breach was the proximate cause of the injury --

Hersch v. New York Department of Education 2013 NY LEXIS
MISC LEXIS 5079 New York Supreme (2013). See also Lewina v.
Katherine Gibbs School of New York, Inc, 37 A.D. 3d 555 2nd
Department (2007).

I've already discussed the complaint's failure to establish proximate cause and won't repeat that here except to show that -- except to note that, again, it's not been established for purposes of negligence. The motion therefore, will be granted in full so you can send out a order granting the motion.

MS. CROZIER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In addition, I had before me

PDX/NIHN's motion to dismiss which was solely on the basis

of a lack of personal jurisdiction not on the merits.

PDX/NIHN which I'll refer to as National Health, or have

been referring as to National Health, moves to dismiss for

lack of a personal jurisdiction pursuant to, again,

Bankruptcy Rule 7012 incorporating this time Rule 12(b)(2),

the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, contending that it was

not, as a Texas company, properly served for this New York

action.

Unfortunately for National Health, given the nation-wide service permitted under Rule 7000(4)(f) of the Bankruptcy Code, the only limitation of personal jurisdiction over a defendant here is the constitutional due

process requirement. Given its specific role with respect to the information that's complained of here, I conclude that due process is satisfied under that requirement. As far as the nation-wide service of process point is concerned, see in re NWL Holdings, Inc, 2011 Bankruptcy LEXIS 580 at Page 6, Bankruptcy D Delaware (February 24, 2011), in re Deacon Company, Inc, 63 BR 422 430 8 Bankruptcy ST NY 1999, and Paragraph 7004.07 Collier on Bankruptcy 16th ed 2019.

As far as the constitutional due process minimum context analysis is concerned, see SPV Osus, Limited v. USB AG 882 3d 333 343 345 (2d Cir. 2017). That does not necessarily end the matter, however, because given my dismissal of the claims against the Debtors and the apparent lack of any claim over by National Health against the Debtors there may be a lack of subject matter jurisdiction here even on a related-to basis under 28 U.S.C. Section 1334(b). However, I'm not prepared to rule on that basis today because that issue, while it is always an issue that pertains to the Court's proceeding with litigation, it has not been sufficiently developed and I will note that the SPV Osus case takes a very broad view of -- related to jurisdiction that might, not withstanding my remarks, conceivably apply here.

It would also appear to me that at this point this

Pg 33 of 56 Page 33 1 is not, as a discretionary matter, a matter that should 2 necessarily remain in bankruptcy court, as against National 3 Health alone, so my ruling denying the motion to dismiss on 4 -- in persona jurisdiction grounds is without prejudice to 5 any other relief that National Health would seek by way of 6 either a dispositive motion or a motion to abstain. 7 I will ask you to let National Health's counsel 8 know of my ruling and they can check the transcript if they 9 want to confirm it. 10 MS. CROZIER: We'll do that, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 12 MS. CROZIER: Your Honor, if I may be heard 13 briefly? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MS. CROZIER: We have one additional housekeeping 16 matter that we wanted to address with Your Honor. Mr. Ng 17 filed a motion to lift the automatic stay. It would allow 18 him to litigate both a pre-petition action pending in the Southern District of New York and pursue an unfiled action, 19 20 not a posed petition --21 THE COURT: I thought I denied that last time. 22 this a new one? 23 MS. CROZIER: You denied the motion with respect 24 to the pre-petition action, but adjourned to a date to be

scheduled by Mr. Ng with respect to the unfiled action and

Page 34 1 that's at Docket Entry 2721. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MS. CROZIER: Mr. Ng has not yet scheduled for a stay motion for a hearing and, in fact, has refused to do so 4 5 when we've suggested it. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MS. CROZIER: In the interim, Mr. Ng has filed a 8 proof of claim. That's at Docket Entry 9234 in the amount 9 of \$10 million and the unfiled action is predicated on the 10 very same allegations that Your Honor has just --11 THE COURT: Which I just I ruled on. 12 MS. CROZIER: Yes. And so, despite the fact that 13 the first stay motion is not set for a hearing today, we 14 respectfully request that the Court deny the first stay 15 motion today. 16 THE COURT: Well, I don't really have that 17 litigation in front of me so I don't think I'm prepared to do that. However, once the order is entered on the matter I 18 just ruled on, you could make a motion to do that based on 19 20 (indiscernible) and that -- I'm glad you raised this point 21 because it reminds me that I forgot to say one thing with 22 respect to the Debtors' motion to dismiss. The Debtors' motion to dismiss also sought to 23 24 dismiss the adversary proceeding on the basis that it was an 25 adversary proceeding instead of brought as a -- in response

to a claim objection which had not yet been filed. That, at times, may be a perfectly legitimate way to manage litigation. Congress put the onus on debtors to object to claims and that also gives them, generally speaking, discretion on when to do that. And therefore, in most instances, if a plaintiff or claimant tries to jump the gun by starting an adversary proceeding, the Court will dismiss it or carry the motion until it's properly heard in the context of a case.

Here, I determined, given the amount of time and expense generated by Mr. Ng and frankly the higher tenuous nature of the claims he was asserting, it was better just to deal with this matter once which I've just done.

MS. CROZIER: Understood.

THE COURT: And therefore, this is obviously a ruling that for (indiscernible) purposes pertains to, as far as I can tell, all of his claims as asserted in the bankruptcy case and perhaps also as to what they've been --what -- how they've been asserted in district court. But I don't know. I don't have that matter before me so you can make a motion in the future.

MS. CROZIER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I actually had one housekeeping thing, too, which was completely unrelated to what we've been talking about. I have been reviewing and generally granting

omnibus claim objections, but the tenth one was confusing to me and I wanted to just discuss it with you. It's been clear to me from the other nine what relief was being sought and the relief that was proposed to be granted in the proposed order which relies heavily on a schedule that was also attached to the respective motions, but the tenth one in the proposed order has language that says that the Debtors sought to reclassify the administrative secured and unsecured claims, as provided in the chart.

Now in the -- all the other motions, it was clear to me that you were only reclassifying or disallowing administrative expense claims under 503(b)(9), so I took that language out and put in 503(b)(9). But this one, the chart was broader and included other types and claims -- secured claims, unsecured claims as well as 503(b)(9) claims. And I just wasn't clear what that was meant to be. The objection itself just referred to 503(b)(9) claims, I think, so I don't know why -- I was concerned that if I was entering that order, somehow I was modifying in addition claims that had secured as secured claims or general unsecured claims.

MR. FAIL: Thank you, Your Honor. Garrett Fail, Weil, Gatshal for the Debtors. Appreciate the opportunity to address your question and to bring to the Court's attention two other updates with respect to this. First in

Page 37

response to your questions, the goal and the Debtors' attempt with this schedule was to not confuse the Creditors and for the Creditors to see the full panoply and the full - everything that they've asserted. So Creditors in one proof of claim asserted 503(b)(9) administrative, secured, priority of other natures and general unsecureds. Not everyone did everything, but our goal was, in listing two rows, was to show what was altered.

THE COURT: So --

MR. FAIL: And what you'll see, Your Honor -- just to finish, but not to cut you off --

THE COURT: No. Go ahead.

MR. FAIL: -- is nothing was changed in the administrative columns. Nothing was changed in the general unsecureds, except to increase the 503(b)(9)s. Nothing was changed in the secured. The objections did not seek to alter anything other than change 503(b)(9) to general unsecured and so in the total claim column you'll see that the total was mashed and what we wanted Creditors to see is, we were not disallowing. We were simply reclassing, and we reserved rights in the objection and in the order with respect to the (indiscernible). We don't believe that the other --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FAIL: -- the other priority is valid,

Page 38 1 probably. We probably will challenge the secured piece, 2 too, except where it's legitimate. The only thing addressed 3 was 503(b)(9) to the general unsecureds. THE COURT: So what I would like you to do is just 4 5 revise the order --6 MR. FAIL: Certainly. 7 THE COURT: -- to say that it's just under 8 503(b)(9) and also to say, when there's a reference to the 9 schedule, say that the 503(b)(9) claims are reclassified as 10 set forth in the schedule which other than such 11 reclassification does not affect any other claims. And then 12 -- of course you have your reservation of rights later so --13 MR. FAIL: We'll clarify that, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 15 MR. FAIL: And appreciate the opportunity. There 16 are two other points I just want to bring to the Court's 17 attention if I may on that. 18 THE COURT: Sure. MR. FAIL: The Debtors will also submit one 19 20 additional change. There was reference number 83 for a 21 claim of the United States Playing Card Company. We had 22 agreed to adjourn that. Administratively, it didn't get 23 taken off of the certificates --24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. FAIL: -- so we'll remove that one.

Page 39

THE COURT: All right. So just -- that's fine.

You can revise the schedule to reflect that.

MR. FAIL: Thank you. And one more thing I just wanted to bring to your attention on this. So we filed this omnibus objection on September 26th. We set an objection deadline of October 16th in accordance with the Court's order. We extended the deadline for one week to October 23rd for a number of parities that were looped in on a group email. The -- we filed a certificate of no objection on November 8th, weeks after that deadline passed. We allowed -- and we removed things even where there's questions and we can address those at a subsequent hearing, but everyone that we had heard from that wanted to reserve rights, whether they were late or not, we took off.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. FAIL: Mr. Wander filed a letter on the docket subsequent to the certificate of no objection on the 12th, several days later. He filed a response for a new client on the 15th, even later than that. So he filed his letter 27 days after the original objection deadline, 20 days after the extended one. He filed his objection 30 days and 23 days later. The crux is that his client didn't -- his new client that he didn't represent -- didn't get a notice. We have an affidavit of service on file since the October 1st docket, 5288. His client is number 53. It's on Page 53 of

Page 40 1 that certificate of no objection. He was served by first-2 class mail and email. The mailbox rules in place in the circuit -- we're prepared to address that at a subsequent 3 4 hearing. We did not, therefore, remove it and we planned to 5 proceed -- I wanted to bring it to your attention --6 THE COURT: I think you should remove it --7 MR. FAIL: Okay. 8 THE COURT: -- and just reserve all rights --9 MR. FAIL: That's fine, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: -- including that it should be on 11 because they missed a deadline. MR. FAIL: That's fine. With that because this is 12 13 megacase and we need --14 THE COURT: I mean, he's going to make a -- it's 15 going to be heard by me anyway and I can hear it in the --16 MR. FAIL: That's why I brought it to your 17 attention. THE COURT: -- whatever context it should be in. 18 I mean, if they don't have anything to show lack of service, 19 20 then I'll hear it in that context. If they do, I'll hear it 21 in that context. If --22 MR. FAIL: We can address it later. I wanted to 23 bring it to your attention. 24 THE COURT: -- if you could show that you're 25 prejudiced by the delay, that'll affect what I would view as

Page 41 1 a motion to reconsider the entry of the order as to his 2 client. You know, so I think it's better just to take it off and say that this doesn't indicate that I've found his 3 4 objection to be timely. Just that we'll deal with it in a -5 6 MR. FAIL: That's fine. We intend to pursue to 7 mailbox rule and the service. His declaration state of it 8 and I don't think he'll be able to overcome it. 9 THE COURT: Right. But then -- I mean, I expect -10 11 MR. FAIL: We'll address it later. 12 THE COURT: -- in response to that, he'll say, okay. Then it should be considered under 502(j) and Rule 13 9023 and --14 MR. FAIL: We'll address it then. 15 16 THE COURT: -- we'll deal with it then. 17 MR FAIL: Thank you very much, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you 18 19 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:24 AM) 20 21 22 23 24 25

	Pg 42 of 56		
			Page 42
1	IND	E X	
2			
3	RULI	NGS	
4		Page	Line
5			
6	Motion granted	23	5
7	Motion granted	31	8
8	Denial of Motion	33	3
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 43 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski Sonya 6 DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o, ou, Ledanski Hyde email=digital@veritext.com, c=US Date: 2019.11.26 10:01:39 -05'00' 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 November 25, 2019 Date:

[**& - 93**]

	T	T =	
&	1986 22:13	2nd 31:3	5079 31:2
& 4:3,12 6:22	1987 22:24	3	5106 3:8
7:14 10:23 21:7	1990 9:24	3 3:5 42:8	5107 3:10
22:11	1999 15:17 32:8	30 39:21	5288 39:25
0	1st 39:24	300 2:2 43:22	53 26:2 39:25,25
	2	308 22:12	544 22:14
0.00 3:8	2 31:18	31 42:7	55 26:2
1	20 2:5 3:2 39:20	312 30:3	550 22:13
1 11:9 14:23	2007 22:12,14	32 24:12	551 22:12
1/1/2019 3:7	31:4	323 22:12	555 22:14 31:3
1/31/2019 3:8	201 4:21	324 30:3	566 22:24
10 3:22 34:9	2010 24:9 25:1	33 42:8	568 22:24
10014 4:22	30:3	330 43:21	57-68 24:2
10036 4:15	2011 32:5,7	333 32:12	58 24:22
1006 4:21	2012 15:21,24	343 32:12	580 32:6
1011 23:25	2013 31:1,2	345 32:12	6
10153 4:6	2016 23:24 24:2	349 23:12 28:22	6 22:5 26:23 32:6
10601 2:3	29:15	28:24	6006 9:4
10:00 3:2	2017 15:25 16:1	355 3:6	63 32:7
10:14 2:6	23:25 32:12	3624 15:22	67 24:22
11 17:22	2018 24:13 25:24	37 31:3	7
11501 43:23	26:6,19 27:4	3d 23:24,25 24:1	7 3:19 6:8
11:24 41:19	28:18	29:15 31:3 32:12	7000 31:23
12 16:1 22:5 26:23	2019 2:5 3:2 7:22	4	7004.07 32:8
31:18	11:9 20:13 24:5	4 23:14 31:23	7012 22:4 31:18
1280 9:24	32:9 43:25	42 24:17	767 4:5
12th 39:17	223 29:15	4207 3:12	8
1334 32:18	23 20:13 24:5	422 32:7	
139 15:17	39:21 42:6	430 32:7	8 22:6 32:7 42:7
142 15:17	23rd 39:8	4307 6:18	813 22:24
151 23:25	24 32:6	44 24:17	83 38:20
15th 39:19	248 2:2	4550 3:14 16:5	88,350.84 3:8
16 14:1 167 15:17	25 43:25	46 24:1	882 32:12
16th 32:8 39:6	2570 16:1	478 22:12	8th 39:10
17 16:1 30:3	265 22:13	5	9
17 10.1 30.3 18 16:1	26th 39:5		9 22:8,16 36:12,13
18 -10.1 18-23538 1:3	27 24:1 39:19	5 10:4,5 42:6	36:15,17 37:5,15
182 29:15	2721 34:1	50 29:7 502 41:13	37:17 38:3,8,9
182 29:13 187 23:23	28 32:17		9023 41:14
19-08269 1:4 3:16	286 22:13	503 36:12,13,15	906 9:24
19-08209 1:4 3:10 191 29:15	2d 9:24 15:17	36:17 37:5,15,17	9234 34:8
171 47.13	22:24 23:24,25 32:12	38:3,8,9	93 21:17

[96 - bankruptcy] Page 2

96 25:12	administratively	altered 37:8	atlantic 22:13
99 23:24 25:7	38:22	american 24:1	attached 36:6
	admission 7:2	amount 8:9 17:1	attempt 12:10
a	admitted 7:1	17:10 34:8 35:10	37:2
a.d 23:23	adv 1:4	analysis 32:11	attention 36:25
a.d. 23:25 31:3	adversary 3:16,19	answer 7:18	38:17 39:4 40:5
a.m. 3:2	19:8 34:24,25	antoine 3:12 6:8	40:17,23
abetting 25:11	35:7	9:11,12 11:22	attorney 29:4
able 41:8	adversely 13:10	14:7	attorney's 29:9
absence 15:23	advisable 13:4	anyway 14:13,20	attorneys 4:4,13
absolutely 20:6	affect 13:10 38:11	40:15	4:20
abstain 33:6	40:25	apart 30:16	attributed 27:3
accepted 27:11	affidavit 39:24	apparent 32:14	august 24:13
accurate 25:13,21	ariuavit 39.24 ag 32:12		authority 15:15
43:4	ag 32.12 agenda 3:1 6:19	apparently 26:12 appeals 27:13	authorize 13:7
accusations 24:8			authorizing 11:9
acknowledge	6:20,23 7:15 9:1 16:4	appear 20:15 30:7	
20:20			automatic 9:2,19
act 28:23 29:6	agreed 38:22	appearance 21:4	11:3,5 14:4 19:13
action 6:9 9:20,22	ahead 37:12	appears 24:6	33:17
23:5,8,10 24:7	aiding 25:11	26:14,18	availability 9:6
26:12 27:6 28:3	aisha 5:17	application 3:4	available 9:8
29:3,5,6 31:21	akin 4:12	7:16,17,20,23 8:7	11:16 14:8,10
33:18,19,24,25	al 1:15 3:17 5:17	8:8,15	15:23 17:25 19:3
34:9	alix 5:18	apply 12:17 13:21	avenue 4:5
actions 29:8	allain 22:12 23:5	32:24	avenues 18:3
acts 29:1,12 30:7	allegation 23:3	appreciate 9:21	averred 22:21
actual 29:7	29:21,22	15:5 36:23 38:15	award 29:8
addition 24:21	allegations 22:8	appreciated 15:6	b
25:22 29:3 30:4,8	27:10 34:10	appreciates 7:5	b 2:21 5:5,6 8:16
31:12 36:19	allege 23:18 25:19	approach 20:9	22:5,8,16 26:23
additional 24:16	26:3 29:12	appropriate 17:9	31:18 32:18 36:12
33:15 38:20	alleged 22:20 23:1	argue 10:7 14:4	36:13,15,17 37:5
address 7:6 21:9	25:4 27:21 28:1,4	argument 20:24	37:15,17 38:3,8,9
33:16 36:24 39:12	30:12	asked 6:4	bankruptcy 1:1
40:3,22 41:11,15	alleges 24:19,21	asserted 35:17,19	2:1,23 9:22 10:10
addressed 10:1	25:22,23 26:9	37:4,5	11:3,8,11,20 12:4
38:2	27:22 28:21 30:23	asserting 35:12	12:10,13,14 13:6
adjourn 20:22	alleging 22:17	asserts 23:8 25:2	13:19 15:16,22,24
38:22	allow 33:17	asset 11:7,25	15:25 16:1 17:2,6
adjourned 33:24	allowed 27:15	assets 10:9	18:5 22:4 31:18
administrative	39:10	assumed 28:8	31:24 32:5,6,7,8
8:16 36:8,12 37:5	alter 37:17	assuming 17:13	33:2 35:18
37:14			22.2 22.10
37.11			
	Varitary I a	gal Solutions	

[based - committee]

Page 3

haged 0.7.12	h	27.10.20.2.20.0	12.0 14.7 0 10 17
based 8:7,13	brunache 23:24	27:18 28:3 30:9	13:9 14:7,9,12,17
10:15 24:3 25:24	27:11	30:11,20,25 31:6	15:3,9,20 16:18
30:4,12 34:19	bryan 5:11	caused 26:5,13	17:5,7,19,23,24
basing 24:6	bryant 4:14	28:2	18:4 21:17,25
basis 16:22 18:9	burden 15:18,19	causes 23:8,10	22:6 23:16 25:5,8
30:2,19 31:13	business 13:4	24:7	27:12,18 28:20,25
32:17,18 34:24	23:12 28:22 29:1	causing 23:20	29:11,16,17,23,25
batista 29:14	busy 8:11 13:15	26:25	30:6,22 32:15
bear 29:24	15:4	cautions 24:16	34:8 35:1 36:1
beginning 17:17	buy 21:17	25:25 28:15	37:5,18 38:21
behalf 9:11 16:8	c	cent 12:6 13:8,10	claimant 35:6
belief 20:8	c 4:1 5:3,4 6:1	17:7	claimed 22:22
believe 16:6 20:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	centered 29:17	claims 9:7 12:2
21:1 25:4,18,23	23:22 43:1,1 calculus 12:18	certain 24:24	13:19,20 17:11
26:9 27:1 28:10		certainly 38:6	19:17,19,23 23:1
37:22	called 6:11	certificate 39:9,17	24:6 32:14 35:4
bell 22:13	cap 3:5 7:22 8:10 29:9	40:1	35:12,17 36:9,12
benefit 22:15		certificates 38:23	36:14,15,15,16,17
best 19:18	capital 15:21	certified 43:3	36:20,20,21 38:9
better 35:12 41:2	card 38:21	challenge 38:1	38:11
beyond 10:14	care 24:24 30:24	change 21:18	clarify 38:13
board 13:17 25:6	carrier 25:9,17	37:17 38:20	class 40:2
bolton 5:3	carry 35:8	changed 37:13,14	clear 8:10 21:24
bother 9:17	carson 6:3,7,7,12	37:16	26:15,18 27:7
br 32:7	6:15,17 9:13,14	changes 7:24	36:3,10,16
brauner 4:17	9:14,16 10:5,12	chanko 23:25	client 10:18 12:9
breach 30:25	10:17 12:8,15	27:13	12:13 14:21 16:23
breached 30:24	13:13 14:1,5,11	chapter 17:22	39:18,22,23,25
breaches 29:24	14:14,16,19 15:4	chart 36:9,14	41:2
brian 3:21 22:3	15:12	check 33:8	client's 10:20
briefly 33:13	case 1:3,4 6:10,11	chester 22:24	close 17:14 24:3
bring 20:4 21:15	6:12 7:5 10:9,10	chester 22.24 chris 5:15	closure 21:16
29:5 36:24 38:16	10:20,23 11:8,20	cir 9:24 15:17	code 31:24
	12:1,13,14,19,20	22:24 32:12	coke 3:21
39:4 40:5,23	13:2,3,6 16:19		
broad 32:22	17:6 18:9,20 23:2	circuit 9:24,25 12:20 13:7 40:3	colleague 6:24 collect 12:1,6
broadcasting 24:1	27:8,11,13 32:22		·
27:14	35:9,18	circumstances	collier 32:8 column 37:18
broader 36:14	cases 15:16,18	22:18	
broke 20:22	catherine 5:7	cite 18:8	columns 37:14
brought 10:13	causation 23:21	cited 18:9 27:8,11	come 28:9
34:25 40:16	27:2	civil 22:5,16 31:19	commerce 29:2
brozman 5:18	cause 15:19 23:5	claim 10:25 11:6	committee 4:13
	23:19 26:24 27:6	11:21,25 12:12,14	
		ral Solutions	

community 23:23	confirm 17:12	17:20 18:5,6,10	cover 10:25
companies 24:1	33:9	18:16,19,25 21:1	coverage 24:25
company 10:23	confuse 37:2	33:7	created 24:20
11:3 31:20 32:7	confusing 36:1	counsel's 9:12	creditor 6:8
38:21	congress 12:17	count 23:14,14	creditors 4:13
compelling 26:13	35:3	26:21 27:22 28:21	12:1 13:11 18:3
compensation 3:4	consensual 27:15	30:1,21	37:2,3,4,19
7:17 13:17 21:17	consent 19:15	counting 23:3	crozier 4:8 21:6,7
25:6,8,16	consequently	country 43:21	21:13,20 31:11
complained 30:7	29:20	county 23:23	33:10,12,15,23
32:2	consider 14:21	couple 15:16	34:3,7,12 35:14
complaint 3:19	considered 18:8	course 3:5 7:21	35:22
10:14 17:18 22:3	41:13	8:10 38:12	crux 39:22
22:7 23:1,8,10	constituting 22:18	court 1:1 2:1 6:2,6	curley 5:4
24:3,4,12,18 25:2	constitutional	6:10,13,16,19 7:3	cut 37:11
25:18,22,23 26:2	31:25 32:10	7:5,7,9,11,20 8:3	d
26:3,9,19,22 27:1	consumers 23:13	8:6,21,23 9:10,15	d 2:22 6:1 30:2
27:5 28:3,7,21	29:13,19	9:18,20 10:6,13	a 2:22 6:1 30:2 32:6 42:1
29:25 30:5,5,18	contact 8:18	10:21 12:12,16	
complaint's 30:1	contacted 8:4	13:14,15,16 14:3	damaged 25:3
31:5	contained 24:11	14:6,13,15,18,20	damages 28:2,11
completely 35:24	24:15 28:15	15:7,14,15 16:6	29:7,9
compliant 27:21	contempt 26:16	16:10,12,17 17:2	date 33:24 43:25
comply 26:17	26:17	17:5,8,16,18,21	david 5:16
complying 26:20	contending 31:19	18:7,11,18,21,24	day 13:9 20:14
compromise	content 21:3	19:1,6 20:2,7,12	days 39:18,20,20
21:21	contention 30:15	21:12,14,22 24:15	39:21,22
conceivably 32:24	context 32:11	26:12,16 27:13	deacon 32:7
concerned 23:7	35:9 40:18,20,21	29:8 31:12 33:2	deadline 39:6,7
32:5,11 36:18	continue 12:10	33:11,14,21 34:2	39:10,20 40:11
conclude 26:22	continued 19:7	34:6,11,14,16	deal 35:13 41:4,16
32:2	contradiction	35:7,15,19,23	death 27:16
concluded 23:6	25:10	37:9,12,24 38:4,7	debt 17:1,2
30:4 41:19	controlling 12:19	38:14,18,24 39:1	debtor 1:10 7:4
conclusions 23:4	corp 15:25 22:13	39:15 40:6,8,10	19:9 26:17 29:23
condition 11:11	corporation 1:8	40:14,18,24 41:9	debtors 3:19 4:4
19:4 26:1	1:15 3:17 6:2	41:12,16,18	7:15 9:2 10:1,16
conditions 22:19	correct 17:13	court's 6:23 15:15	11:1,7,9,14,17,20
conduct 23:13,19	cost 11:18,22	32:20 36:24 38:16	11:22 13:11 14:8
26:24 27:9,20	13:25	39:6	14:23 17:6,24
29:1	counsel 7:12,18	courthouse 3:6	18:5,22 19:2,9,11
conference 14:2	14:24 16:6,8,11	courts 8:17 11:5	19:14 20:16 21:7
	16:14,25 17:15,17	12:18 13:19 27:9	21:16 22:2 23:7
	10.17,20 17.10,17	12.10 13.17 21.7	26:16,22 29:12
	1	l .	

[debtors - extreme] Page 5

30:21 32:14,16	determined 35:10	district 1:2 7:2	emphasis 15:23
34:22,23 35:3	devaney 22:24	9:20,20 11:2	ends 12:10
36:8,23 37:1	developed 10:14	33:19 35:19	enjoin 29:6
38:19	25:25 32:21	docket 6:17 9:4	enter 11:9
deceptive 28:23	developments	16:5 34:1,8 39:16	entered 11:8
28:25 29:12,21	7:23	39:25	34:18
decide 13:19	didonato 4:9 6:25	doctoring 27:23	entering 36:19
14:21 20:4	7:1,13,14 9:1 16:3	doing 6:13 14:16	entirely 14:17
declaration 3:10	18:23 19:5	dollars 12:6,6	entry 9:4 16:5
41:7	difference 17:3	13:9,10,12 17:7	34:1,8 41:1
deductible 10:3,4	different 24:16	drain 2:22	establish 23:18
11:15	26:7 28:14	drop 19:17	28:25 31:6
default 19:20,22	directed 23:2	due 3:7 31:25	established 28:6
defend 12:4 13:9	24:14 26:11 29:12	32:3,10	31:8
defendant 10:23	direction 26:13	dutchess 23:22	estate 8:13 11:17
10:24 29:10 30:23	directions 24:11	duty 30:24,24	13:11
30:24 31:25	24:17 26:7,8	e	et 1:15 3:17
defendant's 25:11	directly 10:22	e 2:21,21 4:1,1	events 22:22
25:14 26:10	disallowing 36:11	5:12 6:1,1 23:22	exactly 10:12
defendants 1:16	37:20	23:22 42:1 43:1	example 27:10
19:9,22 20:17	discovery 10:15	earlier 26:5	exceeded 7:21
23:15 26:17 29:24	13:24 28:8	eastern 9:20 11:2	exception 29:23
defending 11:18	discrepancy 25:9	ecf 3:8,10,12,14	excess 3:4
17:7	discretion 35:5	3:19,22	excuse 22:1
deficient 29:20	discretionary	economic 12:5	excused 8:20
delaware 32:6	33:1	economic 12.3 ecro 2:25	expect 41:9
delay 40:25	discuss 36:2	ecro 2.23 ed 32:9	expense 35:11
delia 3:14 16:4	discussed 31:5	ed 32.9 education 31:1	36:12
demand 28:8	discussions 7:25	effect 15:11 26:7	expenses 3:8
demonstrated 9:7	dismiss 3:19,21	effective 17:22	25:15
denial 30:11 42:8	19:8 20:16,17,19	effort 13:23	expertise 13:18
denied 9:4 26:16	22:1,3 24:5 30:20	eight 24:13	explain 10:17
28:20 33:21,23	30:21 31:13,16	either 13:22 33:6	15:5
deny 15:8 18:7	33:3 34:22,23,24	element 8:13 27:4	explaining 10:19
34:14	35:7		extended 39:7,21
denying 15:9 33:3	dismissal 32:14	27:5,25 elements 23:4	extent 10:2 22:7
department 4:19	dismissed 30:1	27:24	extraordinary
23:23,24,25 31:1	dispositive 33:6	email 8:14 39:9	12:21 15:20
31:4	disregard 23:20	40:2	extreme 23:18
depends 10:11	26:25	emergency 27:16	26:23 27:9,12,16
15:1	distress 23:9,17	emotional 23:9,17	27:20 28:8
despite 34:12	23:21,22 27:1,6	23:21,22 27:1,6	
	27:18,19	27:18,18	
		21.10,10	

[f - hauer] Page 6

f	feld 4:12	fractional 12:6	given 10:2 15:20
f 2:21 5:14 30:3	fifth 4:5	13:12	17:6,10 28:12
31:23 43:1	file 12:13 39:24	frankly 35:11	31:22 32:1,13
f.2d 22:24	filed 6:9 7:20 9:3	fraud 22:8,17,18	35:10
f.3d 15:17	16:4 33:17 34:7	23:11 25:12 27:24	gives 35:4
f2d 9:24	35:1 39:4,9,16,18	28:1	giving 23:1
fact 9:25 11:8	39:19,21	fraudulent 24:23	glad 34:20
	files 11:3	27:23	go 6:20 17:1 37:12
17:1 27:21 28:6	filing 10:14	fraudulently	goal 37:1,7
28:17 30:7 34:4	filming 27:15	24:20	goes 15:22 17:22
34:12	final 3:4 7:17 8:15	frequently 13:20	going 15:7 40:14
factors 10:6 12:22	finally 26:8 28:2	front 34:17	40:15
15:20,22 17:8	financial 15:25	fulfilled 24:9	good 6:21 7:11,12
18:8	fine 8:21 9:18	full 31:9 37:3,3	7:13 9:15 16:10
facts 14:3 23:18	39:1 40:9,12 41:6	fully 26:20	16:11,23 21:6
27:19	finish 37:11	furnishing 29:2	25:8
factual 22:25 23:3	firm 8:11	further 25:2	gotshal 4:3 6:22
29:22	first 7:15,17 8:15	future 11:24 13:1	7:14 8:18 19:11
fail 4:10 6:21,22	9:11 10:3 23:8	35:21	21:7
7:4,8 19:10,10	24:8 25:4 26:21	33.21	
20:6,11 36:22,22	28:14 29:17 34:13	g	govern 23:10 grant 8:8 16:22
37:10,13,25 38:6	34:14 36:25 40:1	g 5:19 6:1	18:12 29:3
38:13,15,19,25		g's 19:7	
39:3,16 40:7,9,12	five 23:8,14 focus 8:14 29:19	garrett 4:10 6:22	granted 23:6 31:9
40:16,22 41:6,11	follow 14:22	19:10 36:22	36:4 42:6,7
41:15,17		gather 17:18	granting 8:15
failed 30:9	following 24:7	gatshal 36:23	19:12 31:10 35:25
fails 28:3 29:16	foregoing 26:14	general 23:12	greater 29:7
30:5	43:3	28:22 29:4,20	grounds 30:6 33:4
failure 22:5 26:17	foreseeable 11:23	36:20 37:6,14,17	group 39:8
30:5 31:5	forgot 34:21	38:3	guess 6:8 14:14
fairly 15:16 20:12	form 14:24	generally 11:5	gump 4:12
faith 25:8	former 10:16	22:10,20,22 23:13	gun 35:6
false 28:6,17	formulaic 23:4	29:21 35:4,25	guys 6:18 9:17
family 23:23	forth 26:22 27:2	generated 24:20	15:4
far 11:24 23:7	27:10 38:10	35:11	h
32:4,10 35:16	forthcoming	genuine 25:13	h 5:16 28:24
favor 12:22	16:20	gershon 3:10	hand 8:10 16:20
february 32:6	forum 12:5	getting 13:12	happened 11:4
federal 13:19,19	found 27:14 41:3	gianis 5:5	happy 9:8
22:5,6,9,16 31:19	four 23:21	gibbs 31:3	harmed 11:17
fee 3:8 8:15	fourth 27:5	give 20:23 21:24	harrison 5:6
fees 29:9	fox 30:2	21:25 22:23	hauer 4:12

[he'll - lastly] Page 7

L. III 41.0.10	l4:1:4 27:10	25.5 20 21 21 24	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
he'll 41:8,12	hostility 27:10	25:5,20,21,21,24	johs 5:14
health 20:18,21	housekeeping	27:4 28:17,19	judge 2:23
20:25 21:25 22:1	6:25 33:15 35:23	29:17 30:12,14,19	judgment 16:15
23:15 24:22,24	hundred 12:6	32:2	judgments 19:20
31:15,16,22 32:15	13:8,10 17:7	initial 15:19	julie 5:4
33:3,5	hyde 3:25 43:3,8	injured 29:4,14	jump 35:6
health's 21:1 33:7	hypothetical	30:10	jurisdiction 31:14
hear 21:11 40:15	30:17	injury 17:19	31:17,25 32:16,23
40:20,20	i	30:16,25	33:4
heard 16:14,15	i.e. 27:6 30:6	insiders 10:16	justice 4:19
21:9 33:12 35:8	ignoring 23:14	instances 24:10	k
39:13 40:15	inaccurate 24:23	35:6	k 23:22
hearing 3:1,1 6:20		insufficiency	
19:7 20:13,22	inc's 20:19	30:12	katherine 31:3
21:8 22:9 24:5	inc.'s 3:21	insurance 9:6,8	keep 19:18
34:4,13 39:12	inception 7:5	10:2,9,24 11:6,7	kim 5:8
40:4	include 27:24	11:12,16 12:24	kimberly 5:5
heavily 8:12 36:5	included 36:14	14:8,10 15:1,24	kmart 16:19
heavy 8:12 15:23	including 11:1	16:21,24 18:14,14	kmart's 16:20
heitzenrater 5:7	24:21 40:10	19:3,14,16 20:3,9	knew 19:16
held 20:12	incomplete 26:10	25:9,16	know 10:19 13:15
hersch 31:1	inconsistency	insured 17:2	15:1,4 17:1,3
	25:9	intend 41:6	18:13 19:13 20:24
higher 11:24 35:11	incorporating		21:3,18 33:8
	22:4 31:18	intent 22:19,21,23	35:20 36:18 41:2
highly 10:8	increase 29:9	23:19 26:24 27:18	knowingly 29:10
hold 26:16	37:15	27:25 28:17	knowledge 22:19
holding 3:16	indicate 41:3	intentional 23:8	22:21,23
holdings 1:8,15	indicated 19:24	23:17 27:17	known 28:23
6:2 32:5	21:8	interest 18:1	knows 25:9
hon 2:22	indiscernible 7:10	interim 34:7	koch 22:3
honor 6:3,21,25	9:11 16:5,8,15,25	introduction 21:5	1
7:8,12,13 8:1,19	17:2,17 18:20,20	involving 20:14	_
8:25 9:9 10:17	29:3,15 34:20	issue 13:24 32:19	1 4:9,17
15:12 16:3 18:25	35:16 37:22	32:19	labels 23:3
19:10,12,19,24	infer 26:9 28:18	issues 20:21 22:11	lack 8:7 25:20,21
20:11 21:6,10	inference 22:23	items 6:23	26:6 31:14,17
31:11 33:10,12,16	inferences 23:2	\mathbf{j}	32:15,16 40:19
34:10 35:22 36:22	inferred 25:23	j 5:13 41:13	laid 9:23 14:22
37:10 38:13 40:9	27:19	jane 5:12 8:1	15:15 22:11 24:17
41:17	infliction 23:9,17	january 7:22	26:1 27:13
hoo 5:8	27:17	jennifer 4:8 21:7	language 36:7,13
hospital 27:14	information 20:18	johnahtan 5:3	larger 19:23
		Johnanian 3.3	lastly 30:20
	24.14,20,22 23.3		
	24:14,20,22 25:3		

[late - natures] Page 8

late 39:14	listening 16:13	18:11 40:14,19	monthly 3:5
law 18:9 23:9,12	listing 37:7	41:9	morning 6:21
23:16 27:8 28:22	litigate 33:18	meaningful 10:8	7:11,12,13 9:15
30:22	litigating 18:2	means 10:18,20	16:10,11 21:6,10
lawful 29:6	litigation 10:13,22	18:16	morrissey 4:24
lawsuit 12:11 13:1	10:25 11:1,11,18	meant 36:16	motion 3:12,14,19
lawyer 9:22	11:23 12:2,18	medicaid 24:25	3:21 6:9,17 9:11
lays 9:25 24:11	32:20 34:17 35:3	medical 25:10,13	9:18,23 11:13
28:12	little 14:10 21:19	25:15 26:10,13,19	15:8,8,10 16:4,17
leave 9:19	llc 15:21 30:3	medication 20:20	16:22,22 18:7
ledanski 3:25 43:3	llp 4:3,12	26:5	19:4 20:16,17,18
43:8	located 25:10	medications 24:9	20:19 21:1 22:1,2
lee 5:13	looped 39:8	24:10	22:4,10 23:6 24:5
left 15:2,2	lot 14:10 18:1	medicines 25:1	26:23 30:20 31:8
legal 13:5 43:20	lots 13:23	megacase 40:13	31:10,13 33:3,6,6
legitimate 35:2	lure 20:8	mental 20:21 26:1	33:17,23 34:4,13
38:2	m	26:4,14	34:15,19,22,23
lengthy 10:10	mahkamova 5:9	mentally 30:18	35:8,21 41:1 42:6
20:12	mail 40:2	mentioned 12:20	42:7,8
letter 39:16,19	mail 40:2 mailbox 40:2 41:7	merely 26:7	motions 9:2,3,4
lewina 31:2	main 3:6	merits 31:14	19:8 36:6,10
lexis 15:22,25	main 5:0 makor 22:11	michael 5:19	movement 15:18
31:1,2 32:6	makor 22:11 malice 22:19	million 10:4,5	19:3
lift 9:23 11:5,13		34:9	moves 31:16
12:19 15:8 19:15	manage 35:2	mind 10:19 22:20	multi 9:25
19:15 33:17	manges 4:3 6:22 7:14 21:7	mineola 43:23	multiple 19:21
lifted 11:10 12:23		minimum 32:10	28:20 29:16
12:25	march 11:9 14:23	misc 31:2	muslim 5:17
lifting 10:7 12:22	mark 3:10	mislead 28:18	mute 9:16
13:7,20 14:4	mashed 37:19	misleading 29:13	mv 23:24
light 7:24 17:24	material 29:13	30:8	n
likelihood 23:20	30:8	misrepresentation	
26:25	materially 13:10	22:8 23:11 27:24	n 4:1 6:1 19:7
limit 11:13 18:13	matter 1:6 6:25	missed 40:11	42:1 43:1
limitation 11:16	7:15,16 13:4,5,22	mistake 22:18	n.y. 24:1
31:24	17:14 19:7 20:23	misuse 26:5	name 6:7 29:6
limited 11:12	32:13,16 33:1,1	model 8:17	nation 31:23 32:4
32:11	33:16 34:18 35:13	modifying 36:19	national 20:18,25
line 7:18 8:2	35:20	mohan 5:10	21:1,25 22:1
15:13 42:4	matters 3:1 19:15	money 8:9 12:3,4	23:15 31:15,16,22
linn 5:19	20:13,16	18:1	32:15 33:2,5,7
liquidated 11:21	mazzeo 15:17	month 7:22	nature 35:12
	mean 12:16 14:16		natures 37:6
	16:12,17 17:5		
	T	ral Solutions	1

noongarily 22.12	numanana 15.17	omnihua 6.20 0.2	namiting 20.0
necessarily 32:13	numerous 15:17	omnibus 6:20 9:3	parities 39:8
	nwl 32:5	36:1 39:5	park 4:14
need 10:3 12:22	ny 2:3 4:6,15,22	once 11:20 34:18	part 21:23
25:13 40:13	15:16,24 16:1	35:13	participant 24:24
needs 28:25	29:15 30:3 31:1	one's 18:1	particular 28:13
negligence 23:15	32:8 43:23	onus 35:3	particularity
30:22,22 31:8	0	opportunity 6:4	22:17
network 20:18	o 2:21 6:1 43:1	36:23 38:15	particularly
27:14 30:3	oberg 5:11	opposed 24:25	17:10
never 30:13	object 35:3	29:19	parties 13:2,23
new 1:2 4:6,15,22	objection 8:8 9:3	opposition 3:21	19:14,22
7:1 23:9,12,16	9:5 10:1 17:23	option 12:9,17	party 22:17
24:14 26:11,15	35:1 36:17 37:21	order 8:15 11:8	passed 39:10
27:8,13 28:22,23	39:5,5,9,17,20,21	14:23 31:10 34:18	patient 27:23
30:22 31:1,2,3,20	40:1 41:4	36:5,7,19 37:21	patient's 27:15
33:19,22 39:18,22	objections 3:7	38:5 39:7 41:1	patrick 5:10
news 30:2	7:24 8:5 36:1	order's 15:9	patterns 27:21
ng 1:12 3:16,21	37:16	orders 18:22	paying 13:8
20:14,19 21:20		ordinary 3:5 7:21	pc 7:17
22:3,9 24:4,6 25:5	obligation 21:23	8:9	pds 3:21
25:22 28:9,18	obtained 11:24	original 39:20	pdx 20:18 31:13
29:11,18 30:8,14	24:8	osus 32:11,22	31:15
33:16,25 34:3,7	obviously 8:8 15:3	outlined 22:9	pearson 5:12 8:1
35:11	35:15	outrageous 23:19	8:1,4,19,22
ng's 19:7 21:17	occur 30:14	26:24 27:9,12,17	pekewicz 23:22
22:15 30:15	occurred 30:13	27:20	pending 9:19 11:1
nihn 31:15	october 20:13	overcome 41:8	33:18
nihn's 31:13	24:5 39:6,7,24	owed 30:23	pennsylvania
nine 36:3	offer 11:13		9:20 11:2
non 10:9 12:4	office 8:16	p	people 19:16 20:8
17:2 19:9 27:15	official 4:13	p 4:1,1 6:1 23:22	perfectly 35:2
29:23	okay 6:15,16,19	page 32:6 39:25	period 3:7 10:10
normally 12:23	7:3,9,11,20 8:6,23	42:4	permission 6:24
note 10:7 19:12	8:24 9:10,15	pages 16:1	20:15
31:7 32:21	12:16 14:19 15:7	paid 10:3	
	15:12,14 16:2,17	panoply 37:3	permitted 31:23
noteworthy 25:18	16:25 17:16 18:6	papasan 22:12	permitting 7:6
notice 3:1 39:23	18:10,21,23,24	23:5	person 29:4
november 2:5 3:2	19:6 20:12 21:12	papers 21:3,9	person's 22:20
39:10 43:25	33:11 34:2,6	paperwork 7:1	persona 33:4
number 6:17,23	37:24 38:14,24	paragraph 24:12	personal 17:19
20:13 24:21 38:20	40:7 41:13,18	25:7,12 32:8	31:14,17,24
39:8,25	old 43:21	paragraphs 24:17	pertaining 23:12
		24:21,22 26:2	23:13 25:25
		ral Colutions	

[pertains - received]

Page 10

pertains 32:20	polsinelli's 8:14	proceedings	q
35:16	pool 19:23	41:19 43:4	quarropas 2:2
petition 12:18	posed 33:20	proceeds 11:7,12	quariopus 2.2 question 21:14
33:18,20,24	position 19:25	11:17	36:24
pharmacy 24:10	possible 14:18	process 7:2 19:18	questioned 24:4
25:11,14	30:10	32:1,3,4,10	questions 7:19
phil 6:24 7:14	potential 10:24	production 26:19	20:3 21:9 37:1
philip 4:9	11:18	professional 3:4,5	39:11
phone 9:13 16:7	potentially 12:2	3:7 7:22 8:10	quote 25:7
20:15 21:2	poughkeepsie 3:6	profits 17:3	quoted 28:12
physical 26:4,14	practice 29:6	projected 18:3	_
physically 30:18	practices 28:23	projection 17:11	r
physician 27:14	29:1,22	proof 12:13 34:8	r 2:21 4:1 6:1 43:1
piece 38:1	pre 11:11 12:17	37:5	raise 27:12
place 19:18 40:2	33:18,24	proper 26:6	raised 34:20
plains 2:3	predicated 9:6	properly 31:20	rdd 1:3,4 3:16
plaintiff 1:13	34:9	35:8	reach 14:23
22:22 23:17 25:2	predicted 30:17	proposed 36:4,5,7	reached 21:19,21
25:7,12 26:4 28:1	prejudice 33:4	provided 25:22	reacted 30:18
30:24 35:6	prejudiced 40:25	28:19 29:18 30:13	reaction 26:1,4,14
plaintiff's 26:4	premised 22:7	36:9	28:9,10,13 30:20
plan 17:22	prepared 16:18	provides 28:24	reading 17:18
planned 40:4	21:8,10 32:18	provision 25:3	24:3 26:18
plans 24:24	34:17 40:3	26:10 27:3 28:7	ready 13:24 17:14
playing 38:21	prescriptions	proximate 30:9	21:9
plead 22:22 30:9	24:9	30:11,25 31:6	real 8:13 11:25
pleading 13:22	present 5:1	proximately 27:3	20:24
17:12	preserve 13:3	public 29:19	really 12:22 15:1
pleads 24:8	pretty 16:14	puerto 17:8	15:2 16:20,23
pled 24:7 27:5,19	previously 18:9	purpose 12:25	17:25 18:4 20:21
27:20 30:23	primary 8:13	26:12	20:25 34:16
pocket 25:15	priority 37:6,25	purposes 31:8	reason 15:3 18:19
podium 6:24	pro 22:16	35:16	20:7 29:4
point 12:9 17:23	probably 13:4	pursuant 31:17	reasonable 23:2
30:16 32:4,25	14:9 38:1,1	pursue 9:19 11:11	28:1,5,7,10 29:8
34:20	procedural 13:18	12:12 33:19 41:6	30:19
pointed 22:20	procedure 14:22	pursuing 11:22	reasons 9:5 13:20
28:16	22:5,16 31:19	put 19:25 35:3	15:10 28:4,20
pointing 11:21	proceed 16:21	36:13	29:16
points 38:16	18:12,15 40:5	puts 15:23	receipt 25:24
polsinelli 3:6 7:16	proceeding 3:16		receive 25:15
7:21 8:2	19:8,12 32:20		received 8:5 20:15
	34:24,25 35:7		24:10,15 25:1
		ral Calutions	26:6 28:15

[recitations - see] Page 11

recitations 23:4	relief 3:12,14 9:2	response 24:13,15	ruling 15:9 20:23
reclassification	12:21 15:20 16:4	28:7 34:25 37:1	
			21:11,24,25 33:3
38:11	19:13 29:20 30:6	39:18 41:12	33:8 35:16
reclassified 38:9	33:5 36:3,4	result 28:2,11	rulings 42:3
reclassify 36:8	relies 36:5	29:14 30:10	S
reclassifying	reluctant 25:5	retention 8:14	s 4:1 6:1 30:2
36:11	remain 15:10 33:2	returning 26:21	37:15
reclassing 37:20	remaining 30:21	review 8:7 9:23	sara 4:17
recognized 12:21	remarks 15:15	22:10 30:4	satisfied 32:3
reconciliation	32:23	reviewed 8:6	saul 5:14
19:19	reminds 34:21	25:19	saying 12:8 14:9
reconsider 41:1	remove 38:25	reviewing 22:25	16:20 24:19
record 6:22 7:14	40:4,6	35:25	says 36:7
15:14 26:15 43:4	removed 39:11	revise 38:5 39:2	schedule 36:5
records 25:10,14	repeat 31:6	ri 5:8	37:2 38:9,10 39:2
26:10,13,19 27:23	represent 6:7	richard 4:24	scheduled 3:1
recover 11:15	39:23	rico 17:8	33:25 34:3
12:3 29:7	representation	right 6:10,11,12	schedules 8:16
recovering 11:19	19:2 28:5,12,12	6:13 8:6 9:9 14:3	school 31:3
recovery 10:9,22	representing 7:4	16:6 18:6,18 20:2	se 22:16
11:12,14,18,24	request 9:4 24:14	20:10 21:2,22,24	se 22.10 sears 1:8,15 3:16
12:1,23 13:1,6	25:19 26:16 34:14	24:25 38:14 39:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17:11,25 18:2,13	requested 20:14	39:15 41:9	6:2,10,12 9:21 10:23 11:25 12:3
refer 31:15	require 8:17	rights 22:11 37:21	
reference 29:23	19:16 27:9	38:12 39:13 40:8	12:3 13:1,3,8 14:7 15:3 16:19
38:8,20	required 13:5	ripe 13:22	
referred 29:25	23:5	rise 22:23	second 9:23,25
36:17	requirement 32:1	road 12:2 43:21	11:19 12:20 13:7
referring 31:16	32:3	robert 2:22	19:1 21:18 23:11
reflect 39:2	requires 22:16	roebuck 10:23	28:19
refused 34:4	reservation 38:12	rohn 5:13	secondly 27:22
regard 14:9 29:21	reserve 39:13	role 32:1	30:15
regime 13:18	40:8	room 2:2 27:16	section 23:12
reimbursement	reserved 37:21	root 11:19	28:22,23,25 29:5
25:6 30:11	residential 15:21	rows 37:8	29:10 32:17
reimbursements	resolve 18:4 19:18	rule 14:1 21:3	secured 36:8,15
25:16	21:15	22:4,6,8 26:23	36:20,20 37:5,16
related 32:17,22	respect 21:21 32:1	31:18,18,23 32:18	38:1
relation 29:25	33:23,25 34:22	41:7,13	security 29:24
relevant 28:24	36:25 37:22	ruled 20:15 34:11	see 7:23 13:5
reliable 25:13	respectfully 34:14	34:19	15:21,24 22:23
reliance 28:1,2,5	respective 36:6	rules 22:5,6,9	23:25 31:2 32:5
28:11	respective 30.0	31:19 40:2	32:11 37:3,10,18
40.11		31.17 40.2	37:19
		ral Calutions	

[seeing - thought] Page 12

seeing 26:7 28:14	situation 13:8	stating 24:23	supported 29:22	
seek 33:5 37:16	small 18:2	statute 29:11	supreme 24:15	
seeks 30:20	solely 30:2 31:13	stauble 5:15	31:2	
send 31:9	solutions 43:20	stay 3:12,14 9:2	sure 10:21 16:12	
senor 16:8	sonnax 9:24 10:6	9:19,23 10:7 11:3	16:14,21 38:18	
sense 12:5 13:21	12:20 15:19,22	11:5,10,13 12:17	survive 26:23	
18:15 19:24 20:2	17:8 18:8	12:19 13:8,21	swift 6:11	
sep 29:15	sonya 3:25 43:3,8	14:4 15:8,10 16:4	t	
separate 20:17	sorry 6:6 9:16,16	19:13,15,18 33:17	t 30:2,2 43:1,1	
30:15	sought 11:6 29:20	34:4,13,14	take 9:8,10 41:2	
separately 22:15	34:23 36:3,8	stayed 11:2	taken 19:25 38:23	
sept2d 30:3	source 10:22,24	stipulating 19:13	takes 32:22	
september 39:5	sources 10:21	stipulation 14:24	talk 14:6,21	
serious 25:25	southern 1:2 7:2	stipulations 11:10	talking 9:17 35:25	
26:14	33:19	strauss 4:12	taylor 5:6	
served 31:20 40:1	speaker 8:24	street 2:2 3:6 4:21	telephonically 5:1	
service 29:2 31:23	speaking 35:4	strongly 14:4	tell 6:4 35:17	
32:4 39:24 40:19	special 13:16	subject 32:16	tellabs 22:11 23:2	
41:7	specific 13:18,18	submit 18:22 25:5	telling 14:25	
services 15:25	32:1	25:8 38:19	tenth 36:1,6	
23:23	spend 18:1	submitted 30:14	tenuous 35:11	
set 26:22 27:2,10	spending 12:3,4,6	subpoena 24:14	terms 12:3	
34:13 38:10 39:5	17:7	26:11,18,20	test 9:25	
seth 6:7	spent 13:23	subsection 28:24	texas 31:20	
settle 17:24 18:16	spirit 26:20	subsequent 39:12	thank 7:8 8:19,22	
19:24	spv 32:11,21	39:17 40:3	8:24 15:12 16:3	
settling 20:8	squaretwo 15:25	substantial 10:3	18:25 19:10 20:11	
severe 23:20,21	st 15:16,24 16:1	10:15 11:15 23:20	21:13 31:11 33:11	
26:25 27:6	29:15 30:3 32:8	26:25	35:22 36:22 39:3	
shakes 13:6	stadt 30:2	suffered 28:11		
shareholder 8:2	standard 8:15	sufficient 23:18	41:17,18 thanks 8:21 19:1	
shea 2:25	9:22 22:10	sufficiently 24:7	thing 34:21 35:23	
shirin 5:9	starting 35:7	26:23 27:5 30:9	38:2 39:3	
show 15:19 31:7	state 7:1 16:18	30:17,23 32:21		
37:8 40:19,24	21:4 22:6,17	suggested 21:15	things 39:11 think 6:4 12:8	
showing 30:9	23:16 24:14 26:11	34:5		
sign 14:25	26:15 28:3 29:2	suggestion 17:21	14:11,25 17:23	
significant 8:9	29:11 30:5 41:7	suite 4:21 43:22	19:1,6 20:2,9	
similarly 25:12	stated 9:5 15:10	summarized	21:23 34:17 36:18	
simply 24:25 28:6	15:18 17:10,12	22:15 27:2 29:18	40:6 41:2,8	
37:20	27:22	support 3:10	third 23:11	
sir 7:12	states 1:1 2:1 4:19	25:15 27:17	thoroughly 15:6	
	24:12 25:12 38:21		thought 19:17,23	
			33:21	
Veritext Legal Solutions				

[thoughts - z] Page 13

thoughts 21:18	37:7 38:16	32:11	36:23
three 23:21 28:21	twombly 22:13	valid 37:25	whichever 29:7
30:1	type 17:24 28:8	varick 4:21	white 2:3
tie 28:13	types 27:21 36:14	various 10:16	wide 31:23 32:4
tier 3:5	u	24:9	willfully 29:10
time 8:11 9:9	u.s. 2:23 4:20	verification 25:14	willing 19:17
11:23 12:11,12		veritext 43:20	withdrew 19:3
13:23 15:5 17:4	22:12,13,14 u.s.c. 32:17	view 19:14 32:22	withstanding
18:1,17 19:11		40:25	32:23
20:23 31:18 33:21	ultimately 13:6 unable 25:5	violated 29:10	workers 13:17
35:10		violation 23:11	21:17 25:6,8,16
timely 41:4	uncontested 7:16	28:21 29:5	wrong 17:13
times 35:2	underlying 9:7	w	X
timing 17:10	15:9		
title 6:8	underpinnings	w 23:22	x 1:5,11,17 42:1
today 6:23 7:6,15	22:25	wait 12:9 13:5	y
21:2 32:19 34:13	understand 7:18	waive 12:25 14:7	yeah 14:11,12
34:15	9:12 13:13 14:5	15:3 16:18	15:4 16:14 18:16
today's 6:20	21:20	waiving 14:12,17	years 24:13
torres 3:14 16:5,9	understanding	wander 5:16	york 1:2 4:6,15,22
total 37:18,19	10:5	39:16	7:1 23:9,12,16
trade 28:23 29:1	understood 19:5	want 9:17 12:24	24:14 26:11,15
transaction 8:12	35:14	13:3 16:21 19:25	27:8,13 28:22
transcribed 3:25	unfiled 33:19,25	21:4 33:9 38:16	30:22 31:1,2,3,20
transcript 33:8	34:9	wanted 33:16	33:19
43:4	unfortunately	36:2 37:19 39:4	york's 28:23
transformed 8:12	20:19 31:22	39:13 40:5,22	
transportation	unidentified 8:24	wants 14:7	Z
23:24	united 1:1 2:1	warnings 24:11	z 23:22
treatment 27:15	4:19 38:21	24:16 25:25 26:6	
trial 13:22,24,25	unrelated 35:24	26:8 28:13,15	
17:14,14	unsecured 4:13	waste 17:4	
tribunal 13:16	12:1 15:21 17:11	way 11:14 21:15	
tries 35:6	18:3 36:9,15,21	29:13 30:8,18	
	37:18	33:5 35:2	
true 27:11 43:4	unsecureds 37:6	ways 25:4	
truly 27:9	37:15 38:3	we've 19:12,25	
trustee 4:20	updates 36:25	20:6 34:5 35:24	
try 18:4	usb 32:11	wedy 3:12 6:8	
turn 6:24	v	week 39:7	
turned 25:20	v 1:14 3:16 22:11	weeks 39:10	
two 9:1 10:21	22:12,13,24 23:5	weigh 12:22	
23:19 24:10 25:4	23:22,24 24:1	weil 4:3 6:22 7:14	
27:22 30:6 36:25	1	8:18 19:11 21:7	
	29:14 30:2 31:1,2	1014	